

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/597,995	07/02/2007	Fred Van Essen	031707/315410	8930
826 ALSTON & BROLLLP BANK OF AMERICA PLAZA 101 SOUTH TRYON STREET, SUITE 4000 CHARLOTTE. NC 2826-4000			EXAM	IINER
			YUSUF, MOHAMMAD I	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
01111101114110100100			3725	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/06/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/597,995	VAN ESSEN, FRED	
Examiner	Art Unit	
MOHAMMAD I. YUSUF	3725	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply

WHIC - Exten after 5 - If NO - Failur Any re	DRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, HEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Source of time may a evaluable under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.38(g), in no event, however, may a ropy be timely filed SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of the communication. We have the communication of the comm
Status	
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>04/20/2009</u> .
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.
Dispositi	on of Claims
4)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-7</u> is/are pending in the application.
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.
. —	Claim(s) is/are allowed.
	Claim(s) <u>1-7</u> is/are rejected.
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.
8)∐	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.
Application	on Papers
9)[The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
10)🛛	The drawing(s) filed on <u>20 April 2009</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
11)[The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119
12) 🗌 /	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a)[☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
	 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage
	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
* S	ee the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)		
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patient Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information-Diedcoure-Statemsnit(e) (PTOISEACE) Paper Nots)Mail Date	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper Nots/Mail Date. 5) Nelton of Informal Patent Application. 6) Other:	

Art Unit: 3725

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

 The drawings were received on 04/20/2009. These replacement drawings have been accepted by the examiner.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-7 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- Claims 1-7 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Van Allen et al. (US 4,014,202; hereinafter "Van Allen") in view of Ching et al. (US 6,510,722; hereinafter "Ching") and Schrock (US 4,306,442).

In regard to claims 1-7,

Van Allen discloses [FIG. 4] a head assembly for a swage press including a housing with peripheral side wall [1] with press zone [24], a front wall [22], an open rear face partially closed by real wall [36] by fastening means [33], plurality of radial shoe elements [FIG. 9, 30] fit in die holder [27] and are restrained by front wall [22], an operating piston [7] cooperable simultaneously with each of the shoe elements and move toward the front wall to press the shoe elements radially inward towards press

Art Unit: 3725

zone, the side wall [1] cooperate with the piston to define a chamber for receiving high pressure hydraulic fluids to move the piston towards front wall. The piston [7] has a truncated conical surface [35] to move the shoe elements radially [column 3, lines 8-13]. A chamber is formed the surface of operating piston [7] means and the surface of the rear wall [35] as fluid enters through a fitting [20]. The piston [7] has an extended section that has an outward face creating a tangential passage [15] with the inward face of the side wall and they are fastened by wires [14 and 15] to receive fluid via fitting [20].

Van Allen does not disclose that the front wall is integrally formed with the side wall as is in claims 1 and 2. He only discloses that front wall and side wall are two pieces. However, Ching discloses radial crimping tool, where the front wall is integrally formed with the side wall [14] to form one piece.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Van Allen's head assembly to integrally form the side wall and front wall as suggested by Ching since it has been held that forming in one piece an article which has formerly been formed in two pieces and put together involves only routine skill in the art.

Van Allen also does not disclose that the rear wall cooperates with the piston to define a chamber as is in claim 2; rather he only discloses that the fluid chamber is defined by the piston and side wall. However, Schrock discloses a chamber [10] which is defined by the rear wall and the piston and the side wall. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Van Allen by including the rear wall into fluid chamber boundary because this would provide

Art Unit: 3725

the piston with an effective longitudinal force component in addition to the radial force with the same amount of fluid flow and effectively a more controlled and stronger crimping force.

Van Allen also does not disclose two passages as is in claim 6. However, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Van Allan to provide a second passage based on the machining requirements in order to provide a backup seal for the fluid chamber, since it has been held that mere duplication of the essential working parts of a device involves only troutine skill in the art

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

Art Unit: 3725

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to MOHAMMAD I. YUSUF whose telephone number is (571) 270-7487. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 8:00AM-5:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Dana Ross can be reached on (571) 272-4480. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Dana Ross/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3725

/M. Y./ Examiner, Art Unit 3725

Page 6

Art Unit: 3725